UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA

THE PERSISTENCE OF TRUANCY AMONG STUDENTS IN THE BONO EAST

REGION: THE CASE OF HANSUA KWAKU- TWI JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

HANNAH ADUBEA

A Dissertation in the Department of Educational Leadership, Faculty of Education

and Communication Sciences, submitted to the School of Graduate Studies,

University of Education, Winneba, in partial fulfilment of the requirement for

award of the Master of Arts (Educational Leadership) degree

DECEMBER, 2020

DECLARATION

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I, HANNAH ADUBEA, declare that this dissertation, with the exception of quotations and references contained in published works which have been identified and duly acknowledged, is entirely my own work, and it has not been submitted, either in part or whole, for another degree elsewhere.

SIGNATURE:……………...........…………….

DATE:…………………………………………..

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the preparation and presentation of this work was supervised in accordance with the guideline on supervision of the dissertation as laid down by the University of Education, Winneba.

NAME OF SUPERVISOR: REV. FR. DR. FRANCIS K. SAM

SIGNATURE..

DATE…………………………………………..

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DEDICATION

To my beloved husband, Augustine Adu and my children; Aaron Adu Akuoko and

Monarch Ntim Korsah.

TABLE OF CONTENT

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

ABSTRACT

Truancy has become a burden in schools plaguing the lives and education of students who fall victim. It is indeed a syndrome that has become deep seated and perpetuating. Various factors contribute to its occurrence. This study therefore was undertaken to investigate the causes of student's truancy in secondary schools, ascertain its effect on their lives and to determine the measures needed to control it. The study used quantitative research methods. The target population of the study comprised the teachers and students from Kwaku Twi JuniorHigh School. A sample of fifty (50) teachers was selected using the purposive sampling technique. This study used questionnaires as instrument for data collection. Descriptive statistics were used for the study. The study found out that single parenthood arising from broken homes was the likely cause of students' truancy accounting. Again, it was clear however that, the failure of students to perform respectably in school was mostly attributable to truancy. In the light of this, it was beyond question that truancy has the potential to lead into crook ways of life, social deviants or educational failure. It was also discovered that lack of parental supervision due to broken homes, poor school policies, teachers' attitudes contribute to the truancy of students, impact of bullying from other students and lack of financial support were contributory factors in varied degrees of impact. Based on the findings, the study recommended that appropriate measures like good relationships between the school, parents and community therefore are needed to control this educational and social challenge.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Students in every stage of education from kindergarten to the university and beyond are always regarded the future leaders of nations because as students become educated and informed they become shaped for exploits. In this regard governments, parents and benevolent societies spend time and resources to bring up students to be useful people for future leadership roles. It stands to reason that the future of nations become great when its students have good education. Going to school therefore has become a necessity for knowledge acquisition, training and a foundation for students' moral formation. In line with this, the government of Ghana introduced free compulsory universal and basic education (FCUBE) to get young children educated. Also, various scholarship schemes both public and private have been instituted and given to students to further their education to higher institutions of learning. All these are measures to transmit values and knowledge to students and guide them in learning a culture and leading them toward ultimate responsibilities in the world.

However, inasmuch as education is good and among other things a means to a great future wellbeing, decent upbringing could be scaffolding to the maintenance of that future hence cannot be underestimated. This is because more and more students are ending their school life prematurely through indefinite suspensions, death, hospitalization, rustication and imprisonment as a result of truancy. Though, it is too difficult to afford life without education since education is the foundation of human life, Shirima (2002) however laments that truancy is the big problem hindering academic performance among students. Truancy

believably has become the underpinning challenge to students' good education because Rohrman (1993) describe the problem of truancy to have reached epidemic extent with absenteeism reaching as high as thirty percent in some communities and more than 70,000 students out of school in each day (Gonzales, 2002).

Truancy from the standpoint of Yeide (2009) is an unexcused absence from school by a minor that exceed the number of such absences allowed under State law. It is deemed one of the major disruptive problems among Junior High school students in Ghana. This assertion cannot be far from right because from the point of view of Peck (2002) the various behavioural disorders like stealing, violence, drug abuse, examination malpractice, sexual abuse and truancy have so undermined effective teaching-learning processes to the extent that, some teachers have become helpless and disorganized in their task of impacting knowledge to the learners.

Truancy has believably caused most students not to tap the best of knowledge from lessons being taught in classroom because they refuse to go to school. Being absent from school obviously could also create learning delays with its attendant heaps of loads of notes to read and the associated rush in learning in an attempt to catch up for the lost lessons: ostensibly a possible reason for students' poor performances in schools. Moreover, high rates of student absenteeism are believed to affect regular attenders as well according to the House of Representatives (1996), because they posit that teachers must always accommodate school absentees in the same class. It is possibly because students nowadays decide when to go to school, time to attend classes and even choice of the kind of teacher to attend his/her class forgetting that regular attendance is an important factor in school success (Rothman, 2001). It is observed that, students who are chronic non-attenders of

school receive fewer hours of instruction; they often leave education early and are more likely to become long term unemployed, homeless, caught in the poverty trap, dependent on welfare, and involved in the justice system. It is of no wonder why remedial classes have also become inevitable for students after school all because continual failure of examinations, repetition of student, dismissals and suspensions has therefore become the order of the day.

Following from the foregoing, Gentle-Genitty (2008) concludes that we cannot wait until students withdraw to make efforts to provide them with the foundational knowledge they need to function well in society.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Gonzales (2002) has stated that the importance of school attendance to achievement, engagement, and educational success has been neglected in most of education reform and prevention initiatives. School discipline, zero tolerance, and school safety concerns have combined to produce strategies that are counter-productive by pushing the problems out of school and in to community.

Deducing from the assertion of Gonzales (2002), it makes no difference than to find absentees always do not go to schools. More and more students every now and always refuse to go to school for one reason or the other hence have turned to be truants as may be termed. To such students it could be said that attending classes is a convenience because they do so at their own discretion. It will therefore not be wide of the mark to put forward that truancy believably has pervaded the Junior high schools system because truancy constitutes one of the top five major problems in schools according to Garry (1997). Garry

3

adds that, it is also a precursor to dropping out of school. It is for this reason that people call it a "gateway crime," because it has been linked to delinquent activity in youth and significant, negative behaviour in adulthood, including an increased propensity toward violence (Bell, 1994).

In the Kwaku-Twi JuniorHigh School, it is not different how students behave as truants. Students are suspended always for truancy and indiscipline culminating into their frequent, suspensions (both definite and indefinite) rustication, dismissals and repetitions. They absent themselves from school and indulge in all sorts of vice including patronizing pubs, betting and gambling, sexual promiscuity and smoking among others. This, consonants with what Van Breda, (2006) says that, many young people who are truant often engage in meaningless and sometimes criminal activities while away from school. That is to say, these students often deliberately forgo classes and indulge in personal endeavours, follow friends, participate in unprofitable roaming and flirting escapades.

Moreover, truancy by and large has become another form of behaviour disregarded by the public at large from the view point of Reid (2000) in the face of the continual and negative factors which cause students to absent themselves consistently and unofficially from mainstream education. These factors include the influence of drugs, gangs, family pressures, the lack of parental control, and an aversion to the structured school environment (Van Breda, 2006). For this reason thousands of students are missing from schools and often go unnoticed every year (Center for School Improvement and Policy Studies at Boise State University, 2006). Deducing from the foregoing, it is undeniable that truancy has become a clear educational vampire sucking the life blood of students dry which when unchecked could deny the nation its future leaders. Students of today enter into the job

market not fully baked because of little learning. To this extent, Baker (2001) brings to attention truancy as a contributory reason for which students drop out or permanently pull out from school making them academically, socially, and psychologically ill-prepared. That is to say, they lack the competence, skills, and foundation knowledge to ably participate in this fast-paced scientifically driven society.

More revealing to the issue of students' truancy is the rate of misconduct being perpetuated in schools of which Reid (2000) reports that two-thirds of youthful offenders start their delinquency while truanting. The problem of truancy has reached epidemic proportions with absenteeism reaching as high as thirty percent in some communities (Rohrman, 1993) and more than 70,000 students out of school in each day (Gonzales, 2002) and seem unending. This unending problem of truancy in Junior High School students' call for a study like this: a quandary that needs the attention of education ministers, policy makers, teachers, parents and the general public to correct this anomaly in schools.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The study primarily is undertaken to investigate into factors that contribute to truancy among students in the Bono East Region. The case study of Kwaku-Twi Junior High.

However the following constitute the specific objectives of the study to;

1. investigate the causes of students truancy in Kwaku-Twi Junior High

2. ascertain the effect of students' truancy on their lives?

3. determine the measures needed to control students truancy in Kwaku-Twi JHS

1.4 Research Questions

The study is guided by the following research questions;

1. What are the causes of students' truancy in Kwaku-Twi JHS?

2. What are the perceived effects of students' truancy on their lives in Kwaku-Twi JHS.

3. What are the measures needed to control students' truancy in Kwaku-Twi JHS?

1.5 Scope of the Study

The study sought to investigate into factors that contribute to truancy among students in the Bono East region. However, the broadness of the region in relation to the time limit especially and financial constraints has necessitated that scope of the study be narrowed to Kwaku-Twi Junior High School. That is to suggest that the study has all the needed data required to determine the objectives of the study. For this reason, data to be collected will be appropriate and fitting to support analysis of the study upon which generalisation could be made.

1.6 Justification of the Study

Students are cherished and acknowledged as future leaders of nations upon whom it is said the destiny of the nation rests. It stands to reason that if the foundation of that nation, being the children, are destroyed the nation then has no future. For this reason the need to understand the causes, effects and solutions to student truancy is paramount. In simple terms failure to meet the needs of students jeopardizes the students' entire future and can lead to early involvement in negative activities such as drug abuse, teenage pregnancy, and delinquency (Rohrman, 1993).

1.7 Significance of the Study

A study like this comes with some a lot of significance of which the outcome can be of importance to the policy makers, educational directors, teachers, parents and students. It is worth considering that, attendance patterns during the Junior High school years do have an influence on attendance patterns later in life. In school days, students become indulgent in both excused absences and unexcused absences. However, these absences constitute the very foundation of truancy when it is left unidentified and untreated. Therefore, prevention and early intervention services that foster a sense of caring and support towards students with high rates of absenteeism are necessary of which the outcome of this study will prove useful to.

1.8 Limitation of the Study

This research had a limitation regarding the qualitative approach used for the data collection and analysis. There are concerns about the generalizability of qualitative research because it is not easily generalizable because the number of samples involved was small. This had a bearing on the external validity, which is the degree to which the results can be generalized to the wider population, cases or situations. All due to the fact that, the number of the respondents used was based on the judgment that they were relevant to the study.

1.9 Organization of the Study

The study is organized in five chapters. Chapter one deals with the background, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, research questions, scope of the study, justification of the study, significance of the study and the organization of the study.

Chapter two deals with the literature review on the topic. Chapter three deals with the methodology used in the study. Chapter four deals with analysis, presentation and discussion of data while Chapter five deals with the summary, recommendation, and conclusion of the study.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter reviews the literature on the factors that contribute to truancy among students. The review provides a widespread review, separation of literature using research related to the objective and the research questions. The chapter also analyses and support the study by outlining and evaluating previously established research outcomes on topic under consideration.

2.2 Truancy

Truancy is the most commonly used term to describe school absenteeism and is usually seen as the deliberate absence from school on the part of the learner without the knowledge or the consent of the parent (Van Breda, 2006). Reid (1986) argue that truancy could be considered to be a multidimensional experience and each case is unique with the combination of various social, psychological, and institutional factors contributing to the learner's truant behavior.

There are a number of reasons identified as to why truancy occurs. Broadwin (1932) says that truancy can be identified and characterized by neuroticism whereby fear of the teachers leads to the young person leaving school and running back home for safety. Nansel (2001) believes that truancy is a common outcome of bullying where bullied children preferred the risk of getting caught wandering off school than getting caught by bullies. Okuyama (1999) says that truancy is a type of emotional disturbance in children, associated with anxiety that leads to serious absence from school.

The term truancy, according to Van Breda (2006), is different from refusal to attend school. For example truancy is often used in relation to the school attendance problem characterized by a young person's absence from school without parental knowledge or consent (Corville-Smith, 1995). Truants may start out for school, but fail to arrive there or absent themselves during the day. Berg (2002) defined school refusal as a situation where young people are reluctant or refuse to attend school, often leading to prolonged absence. Bools (1990) have suggested that school refusal is when the young person usually remains at home without the parent's knowledge during school hours. The difference between the school refuser and the truant, says Van Breda, is that the refuser is generally a good learner with his or her vocational goals at schools while the truant is indifferent and a poor learner who dislikes school (Van Breda, 2006).

Until the mid-1970s, research published on truancy in British schools appeared only occasionally. Truancy since long was mainly a concern for teachers, educational welfare officers and some psychologists who were interested in social phobia (Van Breda, 2006). For others, it was fairly harmless misconduct indulged in by a minority of students in the school population.

Despite the different views and meanings of truancy, they all focus around the action by students who choose not to participate in the school day. Smith (1996) suggested that not all 'unauthorized absence' can be regarded as truancy if the parents purposely kept the learner at home, and not all truancy is likely to be recognized as unlawful absence if the learners quit lessons after roll call/registration in the morning. Although different studies use different criteria to determine truancy, it is useful to distinguish between truancy for the whole day and truancy which involves missing individual lessons or parts

of the sessions. Truancy can also happen even if the learner never actually leaves the school compound (Van Breda, 2006).

Theoretical Frameworks

There are various theoretical frameworks that help researchers, counselors, and school professionals better understand the causes of problematic truancy. Theoretical frameworks allow researchers, counselors, and school professionals to understand factors that influence student absenteeism in greater depth and detail.

Self determination theory holds the premise that individuals are motivated to complete a task when they feel capable to do the work and when they have the freedom in how they choose to complete the task (Kronholz, 2011).
Students', who hold the belief that they are not capable of having success in school, are not motivated to go to school. When students believe that they have no freedom at school, due to expectations and policies, students can become defiant.

However, expectancy-value theory states that individuals are motivated by what they expect gain or lose. Students who view attending school as a loss, will be frequently truant. Students who view attending school as a gain; however, will be more likely to have good attendance. Cloward and Ohlin's strain theory, as cited in Henry and Huizinga (2007), proposed that individuals are more likely to engage in problem behaviours when there is a discrepancy between personal aspirations and their perceived opportunities for achievement. Students become avoidant towards school when they do not believe they can reach their goals and aspirations. Avoidance of school is usually demonstrated through

11

truant behaviors. Bronfenbrenner's ecological model explains how a lack of commitment and attachment to school may lead to attendance issues (Lerner & William, 2006).

Developmental theories help us better understand why school, family, and community factors can influence student attendance. The social development model, as cited in Hartnett (2008), proposed that pro-social bonds help prevent problem behaviors. Students that have weak bonds towards school are more likely to engage in problematic behaviors. Truancy is one of the dominant problematic behaviors that students with weak bonds towards school exhibit.

2.2.1 Truancy and Absenteeism

The school as an institution is a place of learning morality and inculcates discipline to the students. Despite this, some students still do not attend classes. Students' absence from school for unexcused reasons is referred to as truancy.

Truancy is a major problem facing our schools, and the damaging effects to students' academic performances. Adeyemo (1998) sees a truant as a child who often stays away from school without any good reason. Absenteeism is regular persistent absence from work or school while truancy is an act of or practice of deliberately staying away from school without any acceptance reason, whether or not the parents or guardians know and approve of it (Fugleman & Richardson, 2001). A truant is a child that does not go to school thereby diverting to somewhere else or wandering around. A truant leaves home but does not reach school; he escapes from school or class to engage in any other activities that catch up his imaginations. Gullatt and Lemoine (1997) also opined that truancy is avoidance behaviour towards school as a result of the existence of other reinforcing activities outside

the school, other than the home. Rothman (2001) opined that "truancy is an act of staying away from school without leave". Truancy is a problem because the absent students cannot benefit from the various programmes that the school offers. Effects of truancy include lower academic achievements (Baker & Jansen, 2000) and criminal activities (Garry, 2001).

Truancy and absenteeism are signs of maladjustment that require psychologically treatment. It is an act of truancy and this has been causing misunderstanding among adolescence, teachers, parents and in the society (Abayomi, 2002). Truants increase their risk for dropping out of school and high school dropouts are more likely to be unemployed or end up in prison than students who graduate from high school or college. There are four categories of truants; students being in school but absent in class, students neither in classroom nor in the school but at home, those neither in the school nor at home, and those who absent themselves from classes as a result of the difficulty in the school subjects or dislike for the subject or teacher.

The factors responsible for pupils' truancy are many and varied. Lansdown (1990) viewed that there is no point in seeking single factor explanation for a complex phenomenon like truancy. Osarenren (1996) also discussed the causes of truancy among school children under factors resident in the home, school environment, peer-group, culture, and society. In the same vein, Gesinde (2005) has also identified the contributory role of government in truancy behaviour among school children. Lack of interest in education of children varies and this depends on the child.

If a child is not interested in education and in such a situation, a child who is not interested in education if forced to do would always show truancy and absenteeism he/she

would not go to school always as expected, many other things that can also influence the interest of a child in education. A pupil may be ill or sick and may be kept at home in good faith until the child is well and be able to move about freely without any problem (Harrison, 1994).

2.2.2 Characteristics of the Truant Student

Truancy, commonly defined as a students' unlawful absence from school without parental knowledge or consent, has been identified as a serious social issue in need of increased attention for many years (Bazemore, 2004). With many educational school districts reporting rather staggering truancy rates, truancy has been broadly characterized as a nationwide problem with serious individual-and family-level consequences as well societal adverse impacts.

Empirical research on the topic of truancy has documented numerous characteristics associated with truant students. While some characteristics have been identified that have been unique to a particular research study and to a particular subpopulation of students, generalized patterns of association have been reported related to the gender, the age, the socioeconomic status, the race and ethnicity of students.

Gender: Empirical studies uniformly report higher truancy rates for males than females, but females are twice as likely as males to be absent with parental consent. Female truants are said to demonstrate lower anti-social behaviour than truant males, while truant males tend to perceive the school experience more negatively than truant females, a factor thought

to contribute to the higher rates of male truancy observed in virtually every extant study available for review (Bell, 1994).

Age: Empirical studies examining truancy characteristics based on age reveal that as student age increases, there is a concurrent increase in student school avoidance behavior, with the upper grades in high school exhibiting the highest rates of truancy for both males and females (Caldas, 1993; Ensminger & Slusarcick, 1992).

Socio-Economic Status: Examining truancy characteristics based on socio-economic status reveals that truant students tend to come from economically disadvantaged home situations. It is well established as well that single-parent households are another important family setting variable of consequence (Tittle & Meier, 1990).

2.3 Causes of Students Truancy in Junior High Schools

Research clearly demonstrates that there is no single cause of truancy (Reid, 2000). Some researchers have found the problem to be associated with macro–societal factors such as the nature of class system, the environment the young person grew up in and failure of our judicial system (Van Breda, 2006). Others locate it in micro- societal factors such as individual family structure, attitudes and standards of behaviour within the family. It is believed that truancy has been attributed to a possible personality trait, physical and psychological characteristics or poor educational attainment (Van Breda, 2006).

However, according to Zhang, Katsiyannis, Barrett, and Wilson (2007), the causes for truancy can be positioned within four major categories. These categories include family factors, school factors, economic influences, and student variables.

2.3.1 Family Factors

The home is a powerful determinant factor in the behaviour of children. Adedipe (1998) opined that children who are not adequately monitored by their parents may show a variety of unhealthy symptoms in behaviours. Adebisi (1996) opines broken homes as a factor that causes truancy and absenteeism in children because in most broken home there is no proper care for the child.

Family factors that may cause truant behaviour include, but are not limited to parents' education, parental supervision, and household income. In a recent study on eighth and tenth grade student absenteeism, Henry (2007) correlates family factors with truant behaviour. Henry's study illustrates that the lower the parents' education, the more likely the child is to commit truancy. The chance the child would commit truancy was even higher if the parents were a high school dropout. Additionally, Henry's work proves that the longer a child is unsupervised after school, the more likely that child is to become a truant; 29.9% of truants were unsupervised for five hours or more after school whereas only 11.3% of truants were never unsupervised after school. In a recent study on truant offenders in the juvenile justice system, Zhang (2007) linked truancy to household income. They established that minors that are first referred to the juvenile justice system tend to be more financially impoverished, with a relatively higher percentage of families making less than $15,000 per year, than their regularly attending peers. That is, students are more likely to exhibit truancy if they live in families that gross less to feed on.

Eisenbory (1998) views truancy as the fear of being away from parents. Delinquent youths ran away from home, and pose threats to the future development of a nation.

According to Erickson and Curl (1996) some of the parents show no interest in their children development in terms of academic support and others. Therefore in most cases a child turn truant because parent do not show any interest in their academic performance at a particular stage such a child may be easily lure into truancy. School age children and teens who are unsupervised during the hours of school are far more likely to use alcohol, drugs and tobacco, engage in acts of criminality and other high risk behaviours, receive poor grades, and drop from school than those children who have the opportunity to benefit in constructive activities and supervised by responsible parents and adults (Harrison, 1994).

Parental choices regarding family structure have long been seen as important environmental influences on child development. Such choices include the number and spacing of children, as well as choices regarding divorce and remarriage and the age at which to have the first child. Furthermore, they include the extent to which "family" refers to more than merely parents and siblings to include grandparents, aunts and uncles, and other extended family members. Though many educationalists would argue that truancy is more likely to occur among children of working class parents (Van Breda, 2006), this is particularly the case among children from large families who have to confront poor living conditions or parents who show little interest in the young person's education. Collins' (1998) study showed that more than half of those charged with school non–attendance were from homes where at least one parent was absent. Reid (2000) has highlighted that individuals with larger numbers of siblings and only one parent present are more likely to demonstrate truant behaviour.

Other factors that are found to be common among the families of the truant include alcoholism, physical and mental illness, and violence and family disorganization. Van

17

Breda (2006) has suggested that 55% of truants or escapees from school in South Africa have histories of abuse or maltreatment.

Younger children, primarily in their elementary school years, are more likely to be absent from school due to family factors. School and community factors, however, are more likely to be the cause of absenteeism among middle and high school students. According to Gonzales (2002) parent involvement had a significant impact on students' attendance and overall success rates. Attendance, as well as behaviour, attitude, motivation, aspirations, and self-esteem were found to be higher among families who were involved in their child's education compared to families who had little involvement. Gonzales discovered truancy, poor behaviours, drug use, depression, poor grades, and disciplinary problems are likely to be a result of lack of parent involvement.

Parents' perceptions and attitudes about the importance of school can also impact student attendance (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002). If parents have negative attitudes and perceptions about their own education, they may find it difficult to be involved in their children's education. Parents, who did not have a successful experience in school, may hold the same assumptions regarding their children's success in school. Henry (2007) examined characteristics of truants in eighth and tenth grade. Henry discovered that students whose mother or father received a college education had a significantly lower chance of being truant. Children learn by modeling the behaviors and social interactions they observe (Hartnett, 2008). A child's motivation level is affected by the level of motivation they see their parents exhibiting (Bertrand & Deslandes, 2005). Children whose parents set boundaries, rules, and standards for their academic performance are more likely to be less disruptive, more focused, and more respectful to others (Gonzales, 2002). In

18

conclusion, if parents had a negative education experience and/or negative attitudes towards school, they are less likely to be fully committed and involved in their child's education.

Students whose families may be suffering economically are at an increased risk to have poor attendance (Drumond & Stipek, 2004; Heilbrunn, 2004; Henry, 2007; Zhang, 2003). For example, researchers have found a link between free or reduced school meals and students' non-attendance (Henry, 2010; Zhang, 2003). State and federal data suggests that truants are more likely to live in single-parent households, and about one-third of truants live in poverty (Kronholz, 2011). A project called Attendance Counts, calculated that children who live in homes without enough food missed two more days of school than children who were well nourished.

Parents who are working unusual hours and cannot afford a babysitter and/or daycare may feel obligated to have their child stay home to take care of younger siblings (Drumond, 2004). Families who are experiencing financial difficulties may lack the resources for their children to be fully successful in school (Heilbrunn, 2004; Reid, 2005). A family's socio-economic status can directly impact a student's performance in school, especially in regards to their attendance.

According to the National Center for School Engagement, most truant students have been exposed to negative life circumstances (Heilbrunn, 2004). Capps (2003) stated truants often perceive the world around them as unstable and confusing, with many coming from dysfunctional, unstable, and insecure homes.

Divorce has been identified as a factor that can influence student performance in school. Henry (2007) revealed that tenth grade students living with no parents or just one parent have higher rates of truancy, than tenth grade students who live with two parents. Child abuse, neglect, and parental irresponsibility have been found to be characteristics of the lives of truant youth (Heilbrunn, 2004; McCluskey, 2004). Parents are responsible for getting their children to school. Parents who violate attendance laws can be brought to court for educational neglect (Kronholz, 2011). Educational neglect is a legal term that is considered a misdemeanor offense. Consequences can result in a fine and/or jail time. Family factors can negatively influence students' attendance (Reid, 2005). Due to this reason, family interventions play an important role in increasing student attendance.

2.3.2 School Factor

Educational and sociological research also suggests that the school system itself may be influencing truancy (Smith, 1996). The research claims that there are identifiable factors within schools that are closely associated with the development of truanting behaviour among young people. Noguera (2003) believes that school factors play a more important role than others in causing truancy and contributes to large numbers of young people dropping out from schools. Most people attributed truancy to individual, family and community factors outside their control, leading to a feeling of hopelessness and a lack of effort on the part of the school to change the causes of truancy. In most cases, learners who are truant reveal that attending school is the last thing in their mind or one of the last priorities of their time (Van Breda, 2000). One of the important factors in a young person's upbringing is to have a sense of belonging to a group especially among their peers (Ward,

2004). Truants, however, tend to feel insecure and see themselves as 'outcasts and rejected at schools' (Van Breda, 2006).

Moreover, Keys (1994) found that the primary cause of truancy was the school system itself. Keys concluded that the contributing factors of truant behaviour were school policies, rules, and curriculum and educator characteristics. The author also showed that classrooms that rated high in competitiveness and educator control, and relatively low on educator support had higher truancy rates. Britten (2002) found that 27% of the participants in his research "Who is to blame for kids dropping out of school?" said that educator unpleasantness and antipathy towards certain learners were significant factors in truant behavior. Ryan (2001) found that institutional factors such as teachers, boring subjects, gang affiliation, and detention contributed to truancy. Additional reasons for truancy included the negative impact of bullying from other learners, disillusionment with school and the desire to leave school permanently. Capps (2003) investigated the structure of junior high schools in South Africa and found that those with the highest rate of truancy were those with the most inflexible attendance policies. Learners in these schools were reportedly more likely to believe that educators and administrators did not care about them and their attendance (Van Breda, 2006).

Again, school factors that may cause truant behaviour include, but are not limited to school climate, class size, attitudes, ability to meet each student's diverse needs, and the school's discipline policy regarding truancy. According to Wilkins (2008), students that attend large schools may feel isolated or alienated in their school setting, so to escape these feelings they choose not to attend. These students do not feel comfortable, wanted, valued,

accepted, or secure; they are lacking a connection to a trustworthy somebody within the school.

In oversized classrooms, students' diverse needs, whether they are instructional, social, or various others, cannot consistently be met and student-teacher relationships cannot be developed. This leads to a school climate and attitude in which each individual must fend for himself. Henry (2007) solicits that 23% of truants choose to skip school because they do not feel safe in their school environment. Moreover, if a student does not feel comfortable, secure, or safe, and logically decides to skip school because location x is safer than the school, he is punished. Tobin (2009) suggests that imposing more serious punishments has worsened truant behavior; thus proving punishment to be counterproductive in the fight against chronic absenteeism.

The structures, policies, environment, and staff that make up a school are critical factors that can influence students' absenteeism (Heilbrunn, 2004). Students in secondary school are more likely to associate their absences from school to school related factors, rather than family and community factors (Reid, 2006). According to the National Center for School Engagement (NCSE) the lack of effective and consistent procedures and policies for dealing with absenteeism can send the wrong message to students, in regards to the importance of school (Heilbrunn, 2004). Schools with poor methods of attendance record keeping, as well as vague definitions of what constitutes excused and unexcused absences struggle with effectively assessing attendance issues (McCluskey, 2004). Some schools use a "push out policy" instead of addressing the underlying issues that may be causing behavioral or attendance issues. The "push out policy" consists of disciplinary actions that focus on excluding, suspending, automatically failing, and/or transferring out

22

students. Other schools' policies and procedures are inflexible in addressing the diverse needs of students. Kaffenberger (2006) discussed the importance of well planned and effective school reentry plans for students with medical health conditions. Schools that fail to have effective transition plans in place are failing to meet the diverse needs of their students. Teasley (2004) suggested large school systems in low income and inner-city school districts are more susceptible to higher rates problematic absenteeism and truancy. Students often have external factors and circumstances in their lives that prevent them from attending school.

According to the NCSE, an unsafe environment is another school related factor that can affect students' attendance (Heilbrunn, 2004). Schools with ineffective discipline policies that allow bullying to be tolerated create unsafe environments for students. Gastic (2008) identified bullying as a potential factor that increases students' nonattendance in school. Being bullied was found to be positively associated with increased risk of being frequently absent (Gastic, 2008). Victims often felt hopeless or defenseless and choose to miss school, rather than having to face their bullies and/or the social stigma that is associated with being a victim of bullying (Juvonen, Graham, & Schuster, 2003). Gastic (2008) also discovered that victims of bullying were more likely to engage in behaviors that result in disciplinary actions, such as in or out of school suspensions. Juvonen (2003) explained that disciplinary behaviours occur when victims decide to stand up to the bully and fight back. Disciplinary actions contribute to missed days of school. When a school displays ineffective discipline policies, the message is conveyed that bullying is tolerated and that school is an unsafe and unwelcoming environment.

2.3.3 Teachers Factors

Teachers' characteristics and attitudes have been recognized as a factor that influences students' problematic absenteeism and/or truancy (Reid, 2005). Lindstadt (2005) found a correlation between teachers' attitudes and students' truancy. Students who thought their teachers displayed positive and supportive attitudes were less likely to be truant. Students who thought their teachers displayed a lack of support, respect, and attention towards diverse student needs were found to have more attendance difficulties. Teachers, with low expectations for student achievement, were also identified as a truancy factor. Hallinan (2008) studied the unique role that teachers play in shaping students' attachment and engagement to school. Attachment to school has been shown to impact student's attendance and academic performance (Boesel, 2001). The research examined the extent to which teachers support students socially and emotionally (Hallinan, 2008). Results revealed that teachers, who support their students by caring, showing respect, and praising them, are meeting their students' needs. When students' needs are being met, students are more engaged in school. Overall students who have positive relationships with their teachers are more likely to have good attendance and academic success.

2.3.4 Economic Influences

Economic influences that may cause truant behaviour include, but are not limited to living situation and student employment. Henry (2007) discerned in his study that 33.5% of high school truants did not live with their mother or father, 27.6% lived with their father only, 19.8% lived with their mother only, and 14.4% lived with both parents. Therefore,

the likelihood that a student would commit truancy increases when the student lives with only one parent, and increases anywhere between 5.9% to 13.7%, if the child lives with neither his mother nor father. Moreover, Henry explains that students, who work more than 20 hours per week, greatly increase their chances of committing truancy. Of the truants he examined, 23.9% worked 20 hours or more per week, whereas only 13.4% worked five or less hours per week.

Finn (1999) believes that working class children begin their school careers reasonably confident about their aims and ambitions in life. Duffell (2000) suggests that the middle class bias of school tends to belittle these aims and ambitions and to push others in their place that the children dislike but lack the maturity to understand and to consciously examine and reject. The result, according to Duffell (2000), is the disaffection with school and its ideals that can result in delinquency.

Clark (2005) suggests that, the structure of relationships within school between teachers and students progressively erode the self-esteem of working class students and produce feelings of inferiority that lead to delinquent behaviour. This is often the case when students have been alienated from the mainstream education system. Gorinski (2006) argues that many students miss school for different reasons, depending on the age and circumstances of each student. Some students feel unsafe at school, or on their way to, or from school. Other students may miss school because of family health issues and financial demands, substance abuse, or mental health problems. Manuatu (2000) believes that many more miss school and tend to stay home because they are being marginalized due to poverty or even no access to the main-stream services. Others miss school due to the lack of resources or individual capacity they are pushed out to the margins of the social system.

2.3.5 Student/Peer Factor

Rothman (2001) have blamed the child for truancy behaviour, the peer influence had been identified as a major factor influencing a child's truancy behaviour. According to Omoegun (1995), a child would rather prefer to spend most of his days in the midst of his peers where he would be happier and more relaxed. And this gives room for undue peer influence particularly in antisocial behaviours like truancy. Owodunni (1996) opined that it is easier for student to yield to the pressure from their colleagues than to obey the teacher and the school authority. Student Factor variables that may cause truant behaviour include, but are not limited to physical and mental health problems, substance abuse, drug use, perception of self, and detachment from school. DeSocio (2007) identifies physical and mental health issues as contributing towards school absenteeism. They suggest that truancy coexists with student and family mental health disorders and may be an indicator for an existing or emerging mental health disorder, including post traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression, and/or substance abuse.

Rohrman (1993) also posit that peers have an invaluable and influential role to play in providing both the support and context necessary for the learning of new skills and that they act as reinforcing agents of socialization. In a study conducted by Osarenren (1996), it is reported that regardless of whether children's attitudes and conducts were approved by parents or other adults, adolescents attempt to model his behaviour according to what represents the standard of his peer group. Adebisi (1996) gives a critical analytical influence of peer group as a strong factor that causes truancy and absenteeism. The type of friends a student keeps plays an important role. For example student from well behaved

26

homes may become truant or absence from school as a result of mixing with bad students who are not discipline at home.

Supporting evidence from Henry's study (2007) implicates students that use alcohol one or more times a month as 26.5% more likely to skip school than peers who do not use alcohol, and if the student drinks to a level of intoxication his likelihood of skipping school increases to 31.2%. Moreover, 33.9% of the students who have been truant smoke cigarettes and 37.2% smoke marijuana at least once a month. Of equal importance, students that held lower perceptions about themselves were more likely to skip school than students who held higher perceptions of themselves. For example, students that answered "probably won't" graduate from high school and definitely won't attend college committed higher truant behaviour at 44.5% and 30% respectively than their peers who answered "definitely will" graduate from high school and "definitively will" go to college at 15% and 12.1% respectively. Even more defining, DeSocio (2007) indicate, that as many as 30% of youth who are absent on a given school day are representative of school disengagement, or detachment. According to Henry (2007), students that exhibit school disengagement, lack commitment to the school, are poor achievers, and hold low aspirations for their futures.

Individual or personal characteristics influence whether or not a student attends school. Each student comes to school with different set of personalities, skills, abilities, experiences, interests, goals, and expectations. Based on these qualities and characteristics, a student may be more or less susceptible to problematic absenteeism and/or truancy.

Students' engagement and attitudes towards school have also been identified as an individual factor of absenteeism. Henry (2007) discovered that students who received mostly A's were least likely to be truant, whereas students who got mostly D's or below

were more likely to be truant. Reid (2005) found that truant youth tended to like fewer and different subjects, underachieved or performed low in a range of subjects, had negative views towards the school's policies and procedures, and fail to complete their homework. Henry (2007) found that students with low perceptions of the likelihood of graduating from high school had the highest probability of excessive absences from school. Students who had negative beliefs of being successful in school were also less motivated. When students were motivated to do well in school, they tended to spend more time putting a true effort into doing homework correctly or studying, which results in better academic behaviors and therefore, grades. With an increase of grades, another academic behavior that improves is student attendance (Bertrand & Deslandes, 2005).

Some students experience great anxiety and panic over attending school. The excessive anxiety that students experience from attending school results in problematic absenteeism and/or truant behaviors. Researchers have termed this condition school phobia or school refusal behaviour (Fremont, 2003; Kearney, 2007). School phobia is associated with a number of mental health conditions, including anxiety, mood, disruptive behavior, and learning disorders (Fremont, 2003).

2.3.6 Community-Related factors

Social/criminal justice experts have studied external factors related to student truancy. In particular, they have focused on community-related factors, which on a larger scale, are those outside of the school and home settings. Such factors have been described as unsafe neighborhoods, inconsistent law enforcement, media pressure, and a lack of support services (Kearney, 2008).

In some cases, when students have felt unsafe in their neighborhoods, due to violence and high gang-related activities, they have been described as hesitant to leave their homes. This is compounded when students and families have not felt protected by local law enforcement agencies and/or have felt like they do not have access to outside community supports. Overtime, this can have a negative impact on student attendance in schools.

The literature suggested that community and neighborhood factors can influence student attendance (Henry, 2007; Teasley, 2004). Teasley (2004) found that students who live in low-income neighborhood and communities are more likely to be truant, as they are often exposed to violence and drugs. Students from low-income neighborhoods are more likely to attend schools that are poorly funded. Poorly funded schools often have overcrowded class rooms and a lack of resources, making it more challenging for students to have a successful school experience. Peer pressure and negative peer role models have also been identified as factors that can influence absenteeism, especially during high school (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002; Hartnett, 2008; Henry & Huizinga, 2007). During high school teenagers are at a developmental stage where they are prone to environmental influences (Hartnett, 2008). Teenagers are struggling to form their own identity, while they are also struggling for acceptance from their peers. Henry and Huizinga (2007) found involvement with delinquent peers to be one of the main factors that influenced students' decision to skip school.

2.4 Effect of Students' Truancy on Students Life

Henry (2007) indicates that truancy's consequences are extensive, resulting in negative implications for multiple levels of society. In the short-term, truancy can predict maladjustment, poor academic performance, school dropout, substance abuse, delinquency, and teenage pregnancy.

In the long-term, evidence reveals truancy as a predictor of poor adult outcomes, including violence, marital instability, job instability, adult criminality, and incarceration. Moreover, truancy exerts a negative effect on community because of its correlation with delinquency, crime, and other negative adult outcomes. Student dropout from school is the most obvious result of chronic absenteeism. According to Rodriguez and Conchas (2009), truancy and dropout rates are concentrated and worsening in racially segregated central cities in primarily large high schools attended by mostly low-income youth of colour. Dropout rates in these areas are at twice the national average, nearly 20%, and exceed 50-60% in some areas of the United States. In these areas, more students are dropping out than graduating. What does this say about our society? What is in store for these students? How do these individuals survive in a country where average income is directly correlated with level of education?

The most logical response: an increase in crime rates and the nation's incarcerated population. The most consistent finding regarding truancy and dropout rates is the correlation the behaviour has to high rates of delinquency (Mueller & Giacomazzi, 2006). These forms of delinquency include substance abuse, gang activity, and later involvement in adult criminal activity such as burglary, auto theft, and vandalism, thus leading to incarceration. For example, 94% of Rhode Island's juvenile offenders are or have been

considered truant from school (Byer & Khun, 2007). On any given day, one in 10 male dropouts or one in four black male dropouts are incarcerated or institutionalized.

According to Spelman (2009), the United States houses a greater proportion of its citizens than any other country in the world and the direct costs of incarceration exceed $20,000 per prisoner per year, thus costing the United States (U.S) public billions in tax dollars. The United States Department of Education reported that from 2005-2006 the average spending per pupil in elementary and secondary schools was $9,391.

2.5 Measures to Control Students' Truancy in Junior High Schools

Aside the causes and challenges involved in truancy, there are equally as many control measures.

2.5.1 Well-developed policies

It is essential to have well-developed school policies regarding student attendance (Dougherty, 1999). And, of equal importance is that everyone, meaning teachers, parents, and students, understand the attendance policy and that the policy is consistently enforced.

Attendance policies need to be reviewed periodically and revamped when daily attendance for the school begins to decline. When developing new policy, it is crucial to know what the causes of absenteeism are in that particular school or district. This would include teachers, parents, and student's perspectives of causes. In addition, Dougherty (1999) recommending a policy with clear definitions of excused and unexcused absences and procedures for how to deal with each type of absence.

2.5.2 Parent and community involvement

According to the Manual to combat truancy (U.S. Department of Education & U.S. Department of Justice, 1996), parents are the most influential when it comes to getting children to school. Therefore, it would seem then that developing a relationship with parents built on trust and respect is essential. Research supports this relationship development as well as other strategies for involving parents in order to reduce absenteeism and truancy (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002).

One of these strategies is communicating with every parent. Although this is an overwhelming task, especially when language can be a barrier, the but when schools communicated with parents via phone, mail, newsletters, or in person, attendance improved. Another strategy identified in the study as having a positive effect on attendance was having a designated contact person for parents to talk with about attendance concerns and other issues.

Community awareness of resources to support the parents and students exhibiting risk factors associated with truancy is another strategy (Dougherty, 1999). School professionals need to not only be aware of, but also know how to access these resources, which may include mental health counseling, parent training, or tutoring.

2.5.3 Attendance incentive programs

Students are motivated to succeed when they are surrounded by a positive school environment. Therefore, school professionals need to provide a safe environment that not only recognizes student successes, but one that challenges their individual learning style as well (Reid, 1999).

Studies show that schools that reward students for attendance have improved attendance records (Dougherty, 1999; Epstein & Sheldon, 2002). Students are rewarded when they have perfect attendance for a predetermined amount of time, usually no more than a quarter or trimester, so that goals seem obtainable.

Tutors and mentors have been used in community and school organizations for hundreds of years. However, research shows that tutors and mentors are not used as much as they could be as prevention and early intervention strategies for truancy (Reglin, 1997).

Tutors assist with academic learning, whereas mentors become a student's friend and advocate. These roles would seem to meet the needs of those students with social and educational risk factors for truancy as identified in the previous section. Tutors and mentors can have an enormous impact in other areas of student's lives as well.

2.5.4 Small groups

Using small groups facilitated by the school professionals trained in small group therapy is another early intervention strategy for students with high rates of absenteeism and truancy. The small group experience gives the students the opportunity to identify their barriers or causes of truancy and empowers them to creatively problem solve (Baker & Jansen, 2000). Small groups often have other residual effects like teaching appropriate social skills, building relationships, and connecting with others with similar experiences.

DeSocio (2007) initiated a mentoring program that was designed to improve school attendance and grades. Her hypothesis was that many students who exhibit poor attendance feel hopeless and alienated; therefore, would benefit from having a personal mentor. Her findings were that the advocacy and encouragement of adult mentors helped to forge connections that encouraged engagement in school and counteracted the students' social

anxieties and feelings of hopelessness. Again, this program allows for that human-to-human connection to be forged, and instills a feeling of acceptance and accountability in both the student and the mentor.

2.5.5 Positive Teacher-Learner Relationships

This according to Paul (2009) have the potential of creating a conducive learning environment in the classroom and in return brings about an atmosphere that is devoid of unruly behaviours in the entire school environment and this again will determine whether or not a learner can benefit from teaching learning situations. Paul further posits that teachers should be aware of the need for a positive loving relationship if learning is to take place.

When looking at how the teacher-learner relationship could help in promoting effective classroom discipline and which could also help in keeping acts of truancy minimal in the entire school environment. Paul (2006) observed that behaving consistently and being open and approachable will ensure a healthy relationship. Robertson (1996) also supported this when he contended that using humour, friendly greetings and non-verbal supportive behaviour may help improve such relations, but the teachers should avoid humour targeted at the learners.

2.5.6 Clear Guidelines/Rules

According to Deaukee (2010) one of the most basic and common part of any traditional discipline system is the setting of rules. Everton, Emmer and Worsham (2000) explained that a rule identifies general expectations or standards for behaviour and added

that giving the students a clear set of expectations for what is appropriate will be a major start towards establishing a positive classroom and school environment that would be devoid of unruly behaviour from the students.

Deaukee (2010) further added that rules are the foundation for school conduct or behaviour. It is essential that children understand exactly what behaviours are acceptable in school and which ones are not and this is communicated through clear guidelines and rules.

2.5.7 Behaviour Contracting

According to Deaukee (2010) the development of behaviour contract as a strategy to control unruly behaviours of students was grounded on the theories of operant conditioning, which holds that behaviour which are reinforced are likely to be repeated and those which are not reinforced will soon disappear. It is a written agreement between the student and the teacher which commits the students to behave more appropriately and specifies a reward for meeting the commitment. The resulting consequences for not holding to the contract, rewards for meeting expectations and time frame are also specified. Usually a parent is involved in the development of the contract to ensure that the student obtains the necessary support in maintaining the terms stated.

Deaukee (2010) further emphasized the effectiveness of this method when he stated that the contract attempts to control behaviours that are not effectively controlled by normal classroom procedures, to encourage self-discipline on the part of the student and to foster the students' sense of commitment to appropriate classroom or school behaviours.

2.5.8 Modeling Behaviour

This is another strategy which researchers consider highly effective for controlling truancy among the students. For instance, Davis-Johnson (2000) claimed that teachers are not always modelling positive behaviours. She stressed that part of the role of the teacher is to model the behaviours of positive self-concepts and respect for others and to establish importance of academic achievement. Her view is also shared by Field & Fields (2006) who claims that teacher and parental examples are productive methods of guidance and discipline. Mendler, Curwin and Mendler (2008) further stated that students learn both morals and immoral based on what they see than what they hear. Fields & Fields (2006) also added that sometimes the cause of inappropriate behaviour is that children have learned from inappropriate role models.

Deaukee (2010) further stated that an effective discipline approach involves a united effort by the entire school. They therefore outlined twelve (12) processes that form the foundation of any effective discipline programme as follows:

1. Let students know what you need.

2. Provide instructions that match students' level of ability.

3. Listen to what students are thinking and feeling.

4. Use humour

5. Vary your style of presentation

6. Offer choice – "you can do your assignment now or during recess"

7. Refuse to accept excuses – accepting excuses teaches the students how to be irresponsible.

8. Legitimize behaviour that you cannot stop – e.g. if there are daily paper airplane, buzzing past your ear consider spending 5 minutes a day having paper airplane contests. When certain types of misbehaviours are legitimized the fun of acting out fizzles.

9. Use huge and touching – pat on the back, give handshakes. One of the biggest educational fallacies is the prohibition against using touch because of sexual misunderstanding.

10. Be responsible for yourself and allow the kids to take responsibility for themselves. You are responsible for being on time, being prepared and making your lessons meaningful. You are not responsible for judging students' excuses or doing their work for them.

11. Realize and accept that you will not reach every child-some students must be allowed to choose failure

12. Start fresh every day.

2.5.9 The School Leaders' Role in Addressing Student Truancy

Throughout history, school leaders have often responded to student attendance and truancy issues by blaming students (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002; Hartnett, 2007). Schools have blamed students rather than seeking to understand their individual needs and those factors which may be driving their truancy. Consequently, one researcher suggested there is a need to intervene in the causes of truancy beyond normative and reactive practices that end up generating more truancy (García-Gracia, 2008). Further, the researcher suggested schools identify and address any internal risk factors within the school which may lead to

student truancy. This means schools must be willing and prepared to respond to any internal risk factors and to make change, as deemed necessary, in the best interest of students.

Researchers have suggested school leaders take a more supportive approach to addressing student truancy (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002). This would require school leaders to embrace the complex task of exploring their role in promoting student absence by evaluating their current attendance practices and policies (Hartnett, 2007; Wilkins, 2008). Essentially, in studying their role, school leaders would need to identify and take ownership of their actions related to student attendance, whether positive or negative. School leaders would need to recognize and respond to the positives and negatives related to their actions with the intent of improving the system.

Dube and Orpinas, (2009) suggested school leaders take a comprehensive approach to addressing student truancy alongside students, parents, educators, and community members. It is to put forward that school leaders work hand-in-hand with those most greatly impacted by student truancy. In particular the students and families impacted by student truancy. Hartnett (2007) suggested school leaders get personal with other stakeholders to change the culture of non-attendance. One study in particular pointed to the benefits of going outside the school, of involving others, of understanding more fully the whole experience of the child (Cullingford & Morrison, 1999). The authors suggested there is a need to understand individual students and their parents. In doing so, this involves establishing, building, and maintaining relationships. Taking a comprehensive approach would require school leaders to look beyond the walls of the school by establishing and committing to a strong two way communication system with students and their families (Epstein & Sheldon, 2002).

More than one study suggested school leaders must take into account student voice when addressing attendance problems (Hartnett, 2007; Reid, 2008). This means school leaders must actively engage in reflective conversation with students to gain an understanding of their perspectives related to student truancy. As they build this understanding, school leaders must be prepared to work with students to improve student attendance. Making affective change means getting down to the personal, relationship level and addressing the cultural beliefs and practices" (Hartnett, 2007) with students.

Giving voice to students would likely assist in further connecting them to their learning and to the school, in turn improving their attendance. Reid (2008) suggested student voice is one of ten key variables to improving student behavior and attendance despite the fact some schools are reluctant to seek student input. By accessing student voice, school leaders will be able to better understand the perceptions, language, and thought processes of students regarding their attendance and school practices and policies (Hartnett, 2007). By involving students in the process of addressing student truancy, school leaders have the potential to achieve more effective results.

2.6 Costs of Truancy

The costs of truancy are high. The direct and indirect consequences of truancy for individuals, schools, communities, and society in the short-and long-term are so serious, and truancy is so prevalent, that the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention named truancy reduction one of its national priorities (Henry, 2007). Truancy has been clearly identified as one of the early warning signs that youth potentially are headed for delinquent activity, social isolation, or educational failure.

Truancy can start early and is associated with poor outcomes both in the short term and in later years. Truancy, the majority of students who suffer from chronic absence come from families who do not possess the resources to help the children make up for lost learning (Chang and Romero, 2008). For urban, low-income students in elementary school, each additional day absent from school correlates with a 7 percent lower probability of graduating from high school (Seeley, 2008). These early patterns have long-term costs for both the individual and society at large: according to the 2000 census, while 83 percent of college graduates and 71 percent of high school graduates were employed, high school dropouts had an employment rate of only 52 percent (Walker, 2007).

Studies have also demonstrated a clear link between truancy and substance use. For school children, absence of 20 or more days is associated with poor achievement, delinquency, substance abuse, and school dropout (Seeley, 2008). In the Rochester Youth Study, data comparing 14-year-olds showed that those who skip occasional classes are four times as likely to start using marijuana as those who never skip. Chronic truants (more than 9 days) are 16 times as likely (Henry and Huizinga, 2005). In the Monitoring the Future survey, data show that self-admitted high school senior truants are more likely to use marijuana than their peers (Heilbrunn, 2007). Recent research shows that truancy is not only the most significant risk factor for predicting first time marijuana use; it predicts 97 percent of first time drug use (Seeley, 2008a). There is a linear relationship between the two; the greater number of days truant, the greater the drug use (Seeley, 2008). Henry and Huizinga (2007) suggest that the strong relationship between truancy and the start of substance use may be largely due to the amounts of unsupervised time that truants spend with peers.

Data from the Rochester Youth study also show the association between delinquencies and self-reports of truancy (Henry and Huizinga, 2007). Students who admitted to occasional or chronic truancy were, respectively, four and 12 times as likely as non-skippers to report having committed a serious assault, about five and 21 times as likely to report having committed a serious property crime, and two and seven times as likely to report having been arrested. The truancy–delinquency connection appears to be particularly acute among males (Kelley, 1997). In addition, decades of research have also identified a link between truancy and later problems in marriage, in jobs, and with violence, adult criminality, and incarceration (Dryfoos, 1990).

Absenteeism harms more than the individual and his/her prospects: high truancy and absence rates affect the achievement of the school overall, slowing the rate of instruction, which harms all students (Balfanz, 2008). Moreover, the Baltimore Education Research Project found that in such a situation, over time, higher performing students leave the school system, exacerbating the problems. When youth are absent from school, there is increased opportunity to engage in high-risk behaviours. Truancy has an even more direct financial impact on communities: the loss of Federal and State education funding (Baker, 2001; Heilbrunn, 2007). In North Richmond, program over four years raised monthly attendance rates from 89 percent to over 93 percent, resulting in an increase of $470,000 in Average Daily Attendance revenue for the district (Chang and Romero, 2008). Similarly, a program in Fort Worth, Texas, helped raise average daily attendance from 93.8 percent in 2002–03 to 94.9 percent in 2003–04, resulting in an additional $4 million in revenue for the county (Murphy, 2005).

Truancy reduction can also save public monies. Dropouts are poorly prepared to enter the workforce and require greater expenditures for social services and criminal justice processes than do graduates (Heilbrunn, 2007). Unemployment rates for dropouts are generally almost 20 percent higher than for high school graduates. Employed male dropouts earn about 75 percent of what graduates earns, females only 60 percent (Heilbrunn, 2003). The RAND Corporation estimated that each high school dropout costs society between $188,086 and $297,188 (Vernez, Krop, & Rydell, 2000). Lochner and Moretti (2004) estimate accrued social benefits of $1.8 billion for each one percent increase in the high school graduation rate. Truancy's high societal costs are evident in studies of adults who were frequent truants as adolescents.

2.7 Summary

The body of literature suggests that truancy is a serious, nation-wide, problem for students, schools, and society. Truancy constitutes the possible root causes of problematic absenteeism, social vices and child waywardness in the society which can be prevented when better understood and investigated. The literature puts forward that the causes of problematic truancy is categorized into various factors: students/peers, school, teachers, family, and community factors. However, the major constituent in the challenge of truancy includes parents, teachers and students. They mainly hold valuable information as to why their students are truant. As regards a reduction or prevention of truancy requires a balanced partnership between the students' families and their school/teachers. There has been little research done however, regarding parents' perceptions of factors that influence their child's attendance.

CHAPTER THREE

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

The purpose of the study was to investigate into factors that contribute to truancy among students. Therefore the methodology explains the methods employed in the study to enhance the successful investigation into the research topic and the objectives of the study. The chapter explains the research design, population, sample size and sampling technique, data collection techniques, data analysis and ethical considerations adopted.

3.2 Research Design

The researcher used mixed methods. This combined-method according to Mertler (2009) usually provides a better understanding of a research problem because three different kinds of objectives are being collected.

With the researcher's intention of investigating student's truancy, adopting qualitative research was an appropriate design for the study. A descriptive survey research presents a picture of the specific details of a situation and collects data, and answers research questions concerning the status of the subject of study. The quantitative research will help give definite meaning the numerical data where appropriate.

Also by adopting this approach the researcher intends to collect detailed information by using data collection instrument such as questionnaire. This is because a case study is suitable when the research being conducted is an in-depth study of less than 50 cases (Mouton, 2001). According to Yin (2003) a case study investigates a

43

contemporary phenomenon within the real life context. Therefore the context, in which truancies are caused, determined, controlled was pertinent to this study.

3.3 Population of the Study

The target population of the study comprised the teachers and students from Kwaku-Twi Junior High School.

3.4 Sample and Sampling Technique

Kwaku-Twi JHS was selected out of the schools in Techiman using the convenience sampling technique. The choice of this school is based on the fact that the researcher has good relationship with some teachers. This relationship is a good footing that will aid data collection. To this extent the administration of the data collection instruments will be facilitated. The school is a cluster of Junior High schools made up A, B and C with staff strength of eighty-seven (87). In all fifty (50) teachers were selected using the purposive sampling technique to serve as the sample size for the purpose of administering research instruments.

TABLE 1: Socio – Demographic Characteristics

Socio – Demographics	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	22	44%
Female	28	56%
Highest Educational qualification		
/ Professional Status		
Professional Teacher	36	72%
Non – Professional Teacher	14	28%
Years of experience		
Less than 1 year	6	12%
1 – 5 years	11	22%
6 – 10 years	17	34
11 – 15 years	14	28%
15 + years	2	4%

3.5 Data Collection Instrument

This study used questionnaires as instrument for data collection.

3.5.1 Questionnaire

Questionnaires for the study was designed using closed questions on Likert 5 Rating Scale (1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4= Agree and 5=Strongly Agree) to collect data from the teachers.

According to Cooper and Schindler (2010), factors that influence the use of closed questions include the need to classify respondents on some stated point of view and that the participants can develop clear opinions on the topics. Arguably, Cooper and Schindler (2010) add that answering closed questions is less risky for respondents. However, there were open ended questions at the end of each group theme to give respondents the opportunity to express their views on specific questions or to add additional insights or comments.

3.5.2 Pilot Study

Polit and Hungler (2003) regarded pilot-testing as a small scale version or trial run done in preparation for the actual study. The purpose of pilot-testing is to ensure the validity and reliability of the data collection instrument.

A questionnaire made in a likert scale form was pre-tested. Using twenty (20) respondents. Each objective of the study had five main questions set under them. The pilot survey made it easy to determine the accuracy and strength of the questionnaire.

3.6 Data Collection Procedures

In order to facilitate data collection and to save the time of the respondents, closed ended questionnaires were set. Moreover, the answers were made free from ambiguities such that the respondents could make their choice of answers objectively. To avoid putting pressure on the respondents, adequate time of ten days was given to them within which the call backs were made on them for collection.

However, explanations regarding the purpose of the study and the extent to which their responses can be of relevance to the study were given to them.

3.7 Data Analysis

For the Likert-type items the answers were tabulated and the frequency of the answers analysed using the following approach. Percentage to determine the magnitude of the responses to the questionnaire.Tables were used to summarize numbers and figures indicated in rows and columns to ensure the systematic presentation of all the collected data. After that, the descriptive or qualitative analysis was done as the second phase of data analysis aiming at describing characteristics of the sample.

3.8 Ethical Consideration

The researcher obtained informed consent from school heads, parents of the respondents and the educational district to conduct the research. The confidentiality of the respondents was assured. The study was conducted in manner to keep the names of respondents anonymous throughout the study.

It was imperative that no harm was caused, since the aim of the research was to investigate factors that contribute to truancy among students in order to offer intervention guidelines to cultivate better stakeholder's involvement in their children's schooling.

CHAPTER FOUR

ANALYSIS, PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF DATA

4.1 Details of Respondents

The details of the respondents concerning their gender, age, and years of service follows as discussed below;

4.1.1 Gender of Respondents

As shown in Figure 1, the gender designations are defined. It can be read that 44% constitute the female gender while the male gender also represents 56% being the majority. It can be realized that the male gender outnumber the female. This apparently signifies that the male teachers are likely to have more relationships with the students relative to the female teachers hence may have encounters with truant students.

Fig. 1: Gender

4.1.2 Age

As shown in Figure 2, the ages of the respondents are categorized from 18 years to 36 and above years. From these age ranges, 11 respondents representing 22% have ages ranging from 24-29. Again, 13 respondents corresponding to 36% are also found between 30-35 years. But 21 respondents representing 42% being the majority also has years 36 and above.

Fig 2: Age

Research Question 1: What are the causes of students' truancy in Kwaku Twi Junior High schools?

The causes of students' truancy in Junior High schools constitute an objective of the study under consideration. The following; lack of parental supervision due to broken homes, poor school policies, teachers' attitudes contribute to the truancy of students, impact of bullying from other students and lack of financial support were however, the diverse opinions of the respondents regarding the abovementioned objective.

Lack of parental supervision due to broken homes was strongly agreed to by 24 respondents constituting 48% being the majority as a prime cause of students' truancy in Junior High schools. In the same way, 20 respondents denoting 40% also concurs to this opinion as a basis for truancy while 6 respondents representing 12% remained neutral. The analysis of the responses demonstrates that, of a fact broken homes make up for students' truancies in school. This is because there is the tendency for either parent to be a single parent. This outcome is a confirmation of what Reid (2000) highlighted that individuals with larger numbers of siblings and only one parent present are more likely to demonstrate truant behaviour. In agreement to this, Henry (2007) discerned in his study that 33.5% of high school truants did not live with their mother or father, 27.6% lived with their father only, 19.8% lived with their mother only, and 14.4% lived with both parents. Therefore, the likelihood that a student would commit truancy increases when they live with only one parent. This makes it clear that parents suffering broken homes may not have adequate love, finance, time and attention for their child's education. Therefore it will not be out of order to put forward that single parenthood arising from broken homes most likely cause students' truancy.

As part of the causes of truancy of students 7 respondents indicating 14% agree strongly to the idea that poor school policies, rules, and curriculum cause students truancy whereas 16 respondents signifying 32% also agree to this idea but 4 respondents denting 8% had no response. Contrary to this, 20 respondents representing 40% also disagree just as 3 respondents standing for 6% also disagree strongly to the preceding ideas. From the analysis, it can be constructed that though the policies, rules and curriculum contribute to students truancy but the majority responses suggests otherwise. This explains the fact that, though the school contributes to an extent because educational and sociological study also suggests that the school system itself may be influencing truancy (Smith, 1996). For instance Ryan (2001) found that institutional factors such as teachers, boring subjects, gang affiliation, and detention contributed to truancy. Therefore, the above discussion makes it evident that, school policies among others cannot be exempted from affecting students' lives to be truants.

Among other factors strongly believed to be causes of student's truancy from the viewpoint of 4 respondents representing 8% is teachers' attitudes. This same viewpoint is agreed to by 13 respondents denoting 23% aside 8 respondents standing for 16% who remained neutral. In a different response, 18 respondents denoting 36% disagree to the idea that teachers contribute to students' truancy same as 7 respondents representing 14% also strongly disagree to. Analysis of the responses brings to the fore that, though minority of the respondents disagree that, teachers are causative agents to student's truancy, however, the majority respondents disagrees. The majority responses therefore emphasizes what Reid (2005) observed that, teachers' characteristics and attitudes are factors that influences students' problematic absenteeism and/or truancy because Lindstadt (2005) also found a

correlation between teachers' attitudes and students' truancy. This is because overall students who have positive relationships with their teachers are more likely to have good attendance and academic success (Leyba&Massat, 2009). Therefore, it can be deduced that, any attitude put up by teachers can have a possible impact on the life of students in school.

It can be read from Table 4.1, that 12 respondents representing 24% agree strongly that the impact of bullying from other students affects their colleague students to be truant. To this view 16 respondents denoting 32% also agree but 8 respondents standing for 16% had no viewpoints to share. In opposition to these viewpoints 7 respondents implying 14% disagree while 7 respondents denoting 14% also strongly disagree to the fact that bullying of students by their colleagues contributes to students truancy. It can be however be read clearly from the analysis that bullying from other students is a major contributory factor to students' truancy. The outcome of the responses emphasizes what Van Breda (2006) has suggested that 55% of truants or escapees from school have histories of abuse or maltreatment. It is therefore not out place to accept that students are their own enemies to the extent that, their actions can deprive their colleagues peace in school.

Lack of financial support is a strong supposition of 20 respondents who represent 40% as a cause of students' truancy. In the same perspective, 19 respondents denoting 38% also agree that students become truant because of finances but 11 respondents constituting 22% remained neutral. From the analysis of the respondents, it is without a doubt that students become truant because of finances. This is to suggest that students who do not get sufficient financial care are most certain to be truant in school because Zhang (2007) linked truancy to household income. This is exactly what Manuatu (2000) believes that many more students miss school and tend to stay home because they are being marginalized due

to poverty. The expositions point to a direction suggesting that, students with poor families may suffer poor school attendance.

Table 4.1: Causes of Students' Truancy

Causes of Students Truancy	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Lack of parental supervision due to broken homes	24	20	6	0	0
	48%	40%	12%	0%	0%
Poor school policies, rules, and curriculum	7	16	4	20	3
	14%	32%	8%	40%	6%
Teachers' attitudes contribute to the truancy of students	4	13	8	18	7
	8%	26%	16%	36%	14%
Impact of bullying from other students	12	16	8	7	7
	24%	32%	16%	14%	14%
Lack of financial support	20	19	11	0	0
	40%	38%	22%	0%	0%

Source: Field Study, 2020

Research Question 2: What are the perceived effects of student's truancy on their lives in Kwaku Twi Junior High Schools?

In dealing with the effect of students truancy in KWAKU-TWI Junior High their lives as part of the objectives of the study, it was found that the respondents had various opinions including the fact that; truancy promotes students poor academic performance, students always end up as school dropouts, truancy increase students involvement in criminal activities, teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant and students truancies give bad names to schools.

From Table 4.2, it can be read that 28 indicating 56% strongly agree that truancy promotes students poor academic performance as an effect whereas 10 respondents denoting 20% also agree to same judgment but 12 respondents representing 24% had no views to share. Upon the responses it can be inferred that, students are disadvantaged and suffer academically in the end. It is in line with this that Henry (2007) indicates that truancy's consequences are extensive, resulting in negative academic performance of students. The abovementioned effect highlights the fact that the inability of students to pass creditably in school is mostly attributable to truancy.

From Table 4.2, it can be read that 19 respondents indicating 36% strongly agree that students always end up as school dropouts when they become truant while 21 respondents representing 42% also agree that schools dropout amounts to an effect of truancy but 10(20%) stayed neutral. From the majority response, it is of no doubt therefore that, school truants end up as dropouts. This behaviour is what Mueller and Giacomazzi (2006) believes bring about student delinquency when they become dropouts. Upon this, it can be inferred that truancy has the potential to lead into delinquent activity, social isolation, or educational failure.

Also, 19 respondents standing for 36% strongly agree that truancy increase students involvement in criminal activities. In addition to this, 21 respondents representing 42%, thus the majority also agree to this assertion while 10 respondents designating 20% remained neutral. The analysis makes it evident that, truancy has the propensity to end students up in social vices and be miscreants. For example, 94% of students' offenders are or have been considered truant from school (Byer and Khun, 2007).

Again, among the effects of truancy, 12 respondents referring to 24% strongly stand by the fact teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant. In like manner 22 respondents constituting 44% also agree to this preceding idea though 10 respondents denoting 20% had no comments. Despite this, 6 respondents denoting 12% disagreed indicating that teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant.

As part of the effects of truancy 18 respondents representing 36% strongly agree that the behaviour gives bad names to schools. This same opinion is agreed to by 12 respondents who also believe that schools get bad names because of truant students but 7 respondents remained neutral. In an opposed response 7 respondents constituting 14% disagree while 6 respondents denoting 12% also strongly disagree to the extent that students' truancies do not affect names of schools.

Table 4.2: Effect of Students Truancy

Effect of Students Truancy	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

Truancy promotes students poor academic performance	28	10	12	0	0
	56%	20%	24%	0%	0%
Students always end up as school dropouts	25	18	6	4	0
	50%	36%	12%	8%	0%
Truancy increase students involvement in criminal activities	19	21	10	0	0
	30%	47%	23%	0%	0%
Teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant	12	22	10	6	0
	33%	37%	0%	20%	0%
Students truancies give bad names to schools	18	12	7	7	6
	36%	24%	14%	14%	12%

Source: Field Study, 2020.

4.4 What are the measures needed to control students' truancy in Junior High schools in Kwaku Twi Junior High Schools?

Diverse and split opinions were advocated as measures needed to control students' truancy in Junior High schools. These were that; students should be rewarded when they have perfect attendance, provision of a safe environment that recognizes student successes, strict and instant punitive measure should be instituted, school policies regarding student conduct should be in place and mentoring program should be designed to improve school attendance and grades.

In response to the control measures to truancy, 22 respondents amounting to 44% strongly agree that students should be rewarded when they have perfect attendance while 13 respondents designating 26% also agree while 10 respondents denoting 20% remained

neutral. Contrary to the discussions above 5 respondents standing for 10% strongly disagree to the measures opined. Despite the disagreement to the prescribed control measure, majority of the respondents believe reward systems are a recipe for curbing truancy. This cannot be wrong because studies show that schools that reward students for attendance have improved attendance records (Dougherty, 1999; Epstein & Sheldon, 2002). This is to suggest that students who attain a perfect attendance for a predetermined amount of time in a term will be rewarded.

Also as part of the control measures of truancy, 17 respondents representing 34% strongly agree that the provision of a safe environment that recognizes student successes will help hold back truants. In agreement to this direction, 18 respondents denoting 36% also back this approach though 5 respondent constituting 10% had no response. In a different opinion, 4 respondents forming 8% also disagree while 6 respondents denoting 12 strongly disagree. The majority responses suggest that the provision of a safe environment should be of prime preoccupation of schools. This according to Paul (2006) have the potential of creating a conducive learning environment in the classroom and in return brings about an atmosphere that is devoid of unruly behaviours in the entire school environment and this again will determine whether or not a learner can benefit from teaching learning situations. The students under this atmosphere believably are likely to avoid or minimise truant behaviours.

Moreover, 18 respondents corresponding to 36% strongly accept the institution of strict and instant punitive measures. This according to 20 respondents who represent 40% is a good approach to monitor truants while 9 respondents remained neutral. In a diverse response, 3 respondents denoting 6% strongly disagreed. Aside the minor disagreements it

can be studied that majority respondents subscribe to the use of strict and instant punitive measures to control truants. From the responses, it stands to appreciate that, on the spot punishment will be given to truants when caught. This possibly can direct their minds to academic activities.

Allowing school policies regarding student conduct to operate according to 22 respondents denoting 44% strongly agree that policies should be in place as a control strategy. In the same way, 21 respondents corresponding to 42 % also supports. This is to suggest that, as long as school rules and regulations are operational students will fear to flout for possible fear of suspension or any kind of stringent discipline.

Mentoring program should be designed to improve school attendance and grades according to 26 respondents who denote 52% while 10 respondents constituting 20% also agree but 14 respondents standing for 28% strongly disagree. It can be deduced from the analysis that, mentoring the students can reduce truancy. It is in this direction that DeSocio (2007) initiated a mentoring program that was designed to improve school attendance and grades.

Table 4.3: Measures needed to Control Students Truancy

Measures needed to Control Students Truancy	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree

Students should be rewarded when	22	13	10	0	5
they have perfect attendance	44%	26%	20%	23%	10%
Provision of a safe environment that	17	18	5	4	6
recognizes student successes	34%	36%	10%	8%	12%
Strict and instant punitive measure	18	20	9	0	3
should be instituted	36%	40%	18%	0%	6%
School policies regarding student	22	21	0	0	7
conduct should be in place	44%	42%	0	0%	14%
Mentoring program should be	26	10	14	0	0
designed to improve school	52%	20%	28%	0%	0%
attendance and grades					

Source: Field Study, 2020

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary

The purpose of the study was to investigate into factors that contribute to truancy among students. However, the study was guided by three research questions to determine the causes of students' truancy in Junior High schools, the effect of students' truancy on their lives and establish the measures needed to control students' truancy in secondary schools.

Combined methods of both the quantitative and qualitative research methods were used. The target population of the study comprised the teachers and students from Kwaku-Twi Junior High School. In all fifty (50) teachers were selected as sample size. A purposive sampling technique was used to select the sample.

Outcome of analysis vis-à-vis the causes of students' truancy in Junior High schools showed that; lack of parental supervision due to broken homes, poor school policies, teachers' attitudes contribute to the truancy of students, impact of bullying from other students and lack of financial support were contributory factors in varied degrees of impact.

Diverse impacts were noted as effects on the truants. Notable were that fact truancy promotes students poor academic performance, ends students up as school dropouts, increase students involvement in criminal activities, teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant and students truancies give bad names to schools.

Dissimilar opinions were promoted as measures needed to control students' truancy in Junior High schools which were that; students should be rewarded when they have perfect attendance, safe environment that promotes student successes should provided,

strict and instant punitive measure should be instituted, school policies regarding student conduct should be in place and mentoring program should be designed to improve school attendance and grades.

5.2 Conclusion

Undeniably truancy has become a burden in schools plaguing the lives and education of students who fall victims. It is indeed a syndrome that has become deep seated and perpetuating because various factors contribute to its existence. This is because single parenthood arising from broken homes most likely cause students' truancy just as school policies among others cannot also be exempted from affecting students' lives to be truants. Whereas the attitudes of some teachers affect lives of students in school so has financial considerations made most students susceptible to influences for which reason most students with poor backgrounds suffer poor school attendance.

It was clear however that, the failure of students to perform respectably in school was mostly attributable to truancy. In the light of this, it was beyond question that truancy has the potential to lead into crook ways of life, social deviants or educational failure.

Appropriate measures therefore are needful to control this educational and social challenge. By this, the applications of reward systems as technique would limit truancy because students are bent on improving their attendance to schools when they are motivated. On the other hand, it was unarguable that, students thrived under favourable learning environment both on school compound and in the classroom. Therefore having an atmosphere devoid of disturbances encourages students to concentrate and learn. There is no doubt therefore that, students under this environment will not avoid or minimise truant

behaviours. But in the event of extreme truancy the application of on the spot punishment was necessary to direct their minds to academic course.

5.3 Recommendation

Considering the analysis of the study, the researcher makes the following recommendations;

1. That, teachers should endeavour to build good relationship with their students always. This will enable the teacher identify the causes of truancy and proffer solutions. Students can also share their challenges for effective counselling to be given them where appropriate.

2. That, scholarship support systems must be instituted by the school to help students who for financial reasons have become truant. This system will discourage any immoral tendencies among students.

3. Good relationships must be established between the school, parents and community such that collaborative efforts can be garnered to fight truancy both in the school and at home

4. Students must be rewarded for good school attendance and performances. This will encourage other students to follow suit in practice.

5. That, effective monitoring and control team must be constituted on campus to check truancy. This will put some fear in students who intend to misbehave.

6. That, effective punitive measures must be given to students when found culpable. This will serve as disincentive to students who practice same and those who intent to follow suit.

REFERENCES

Abayomi, M.O. (2002). *Indiscipline and the Nigeria schools: A seminal paper presented at the National conference of secondary school principal in Enugu*. Enugu: New Dimension Publishers.

Adebisi, M. (1996). *A study causes of truancy among adolescent student in Nigeria work organization: A comparative study of private and public organization in Ondo State*. (Unpublished M. B.A Thesis). Benin City: University of Benin.

Adedipe, V. O. (1998). *The clinical features of anti-social behaviour*. Development and disability (Unpublished Monograph for Review).

Adeyemo, P.O. (1998). *Principles and practice of education*. Ado Ekiti: Omolayo Standard Press and Bookshop Company Ltd.

Amin, E. (2005). *Social science research: Conception, methodology and analysis*. Kampala: Makerere University Printer.

Baker, D., & Jansen, J. (2000). Using groups to reduce elementary school absenteeism. *Social Work in Education, 22*(1) 46-53.

Baker, M., Sigmon, J., & Nugent, E. M. (2001). Truancy reduction: Keeping students in school. *Juv. Just. Bull*, *3*, 521-570.

Balfanz, R. (2008). *Lost days: Patterns and levels of chronic absenteeism among Baltimore city public school students 1999–2000 to 2005–06*. Baltimore, Md.: Baltimore Education Research Consortium.

Bazemore, G., Stinchcomb, J. B., & Leip, L. A. (2004). Scared smart or bored straight? Testing deterrence logic in an evaluation of police-led truancy intervention. *Justice Quarterly, 21*(2), 269-299.

Bell, A., Rosen, L., & Dynlacht, D. (1994). Truancy intervention. *The J. of Res. And Dev. In Educ. 203*, 205-260.

Berg, B. L. (2001*). Qualitative research methods for the social sciences*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Bertrand, R., & Deslandes, R. (2005). Motivation of parent involvement in secondary-level Schooling. *The Journal of Educational Research, 98*(3), 125.

Boesel, D. (2001). *Student attitudes toward high school and educational expectations*. WA: American Educational Research Association, Seattle.

Bools, C., & Foster, J. (1990). The identification of psychiatric disorders in children who fail to attend school: A cluster analysis of a non-clinical population. *Psychol Med 20*(1), 171-181.

Britten, (2002). Using meta ethnography to synthesise qualitative research: a worked example. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 7*, 209-215.

Broadwin, I. T. (1932). A contribution to the study of truancy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 2*, 253-259.

Byer, J. L., & Kuhn, J. A. (2007). A Model response to truancy prevention: The Louisville truancy court diversion project. *The Judge's Journal, 46*(3), 8-14.

Caldas, S. J. (1993). Reexamination of input and process factor effects in public school achievement. *Journal of Educational Research, 86*, 206-214.

Capps, W. R. (2003). The new face of truancy. *School Administration, 60*, 34- 49.

Center for School Improvement & Policy Studies. (2006). Educational neglect and compulsory Schooling: A status report (2004-2005).

Chang, H., & Romero, M. (2008). *Present, engaged and accounted for: The critical importance of addressing chronic absence in the early grades*. New York, NY: National Center for Children in Poverty, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University.

Clark, M., & Borg, S. (2005). *School attendance improvement*. London: Ministry of Education, Youth and Employment.

Collins, D. (1998). *Managing truancy in schools*. New York: Caswell.

Corville-Smith, J. (1995). Truancy, family processes, and intervention. The family school connection: *Theory, Research and Practice*, *3*, 270-287.

Cullingford, C., & Morrison, M. (1999). Relationships between parents and schools: A case study. *Educational Review, 51*(3), 253-262.

Curl, P. (1996). *Introduction to the study of sociology*. Onitsha: Education publishers Ltd.

Davis-Johnson, S. (2000). *Essentials for character discipline: Elementary classroom management*. California: Corwin Press Inc.

Deaukee, L. (2010). *Students' perceptions of indiscipline at three primary schools in one Educational District in Central Trinidad*. (An Unpublished M.Ed Thesis).Trinidad: University of the West Indies.

DeSocio, J., VanCura, M., Nelson, L. A., Hewitt, G., Kitzman, H., & Cole, R. (2007). Engaging truant adolescents: Results from a multifaceted intervention pilot. *Preventing School Failure, 51*(3), 3-11.

Dougherty, J. W. (1999). *Fastback: Attending to attendance*. Bloomington, ID: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.

Drumond, K., & Stipek, D. (2004). Low-income parents' beliefs about their role in children's academic learning. *The Elementary School Journal, 104*(3), 231-255.

Dryfoos, J. G. (1990). *Adolescents at risk: Prevalence and prevention*. New York, N.Y.: Oxford University Press.

Dube, S. R., & Orpinas, P. (2009). Understanding excessive school absenteeism as a school refusal behavior. *Children & Schools, 31*(2), 87-95.

Duffell, N. (2000). *The making of them: The British attitude to children and the boarding school system*. London: Lone Arrow Press.

Eisenbory, I. (1998). *Sociology and the school phobia*. Chicago U.S.A: Educational Corporation.

Ensminger, M. E., & Slusarcick, A. L. (1992). Paths to high school graduation or dropout: A longitudinal study of first grade cohort. *Sociology of Education, 6*, 95-113.

Epstein, J. L., & Sheldon, S. B. (2002). Present and accounted for: Improving student attendance through family and community. *Journal of Education Research, 95*, 308-320.

Fields, M. V., & Fields, D. (2006). *Constructive guidance and discipline: Preschool and primary education*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.

Finn, P. J. (1999). *Literacy with an attitude: Educating working-class children in their own self-interest*. New York: State University of New York Press.

Fugleman, D., & Richardson, U. (2001).*Urban schools: The challenge of location and poverty*. Washington, D.C.:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

García-Gracia, M. (2008). Role of secondary schools in the face of student absenteeism: A study of schools in socially underprivileged areas. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 12*(3), 263-280.

Garry, E. (1997). Truancy. First step to a lifetime of problems, U.S. Dep't of Just. Off. Of Just. Programs, Off. Of Juv. Just. & Delinq

Garry, E. M. (2001). *Truancy: First step to lifetime of problems*. Official Bulletin of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. OJJDP: US Department of Justice.

Gastic, B. (2008). School truancy and the disciplinary problems of bullying victims. *Educational Review, 60*(4), 391-404.

Gentle-Genitty, C. S. (2008). *Impact of schools' social bonding on chronic truancy: Perceptions of middle school principals*. India: School of Social Work Indiana University.

Gesinde, A. M. (2005). Psycho-social determinants of truant behaviour among secondary school students. Ife Psychologia: *An International Journal, 13*(1), 188-199.

Gonzales, R., Richards, K., & Harmacek, M. (2002). Youth Out of School: Linking Absence to Delinquency, the Colo. Foundation for Families and Child

Gorinski, R., & Fraser, C. (2006). *Literature review on the effective engagement of Pasifika parents and communities in education, research division, Ministry of Education.*

Gullatt, D., & Lemoine, D.A. (1997). Assistance for school administrator concerned about student truancy. *Eric Digest, 19*(9), 21-99.

Hallinan, M. T. (2008). Teacher influences on students' attachment to school. *Sociology of Education, 81*, 271-283.

Harrison, H. (1994). *Department of justice's drug use force program*. U.S.A: McGraw-Hill.

Hartnett, S. (2008). Does peer group identity influence absenteeism in high school students? *High School Journal, 91*(2), 35-44.

Heilbrunn, J. (2004). *Juvenile detention for Colorado youth: Exploring the issues*. Denver, Co: National Center for School Engagement.

Henry, K. L., & Huizinga, D. H. (2007). School-related risk and protective factors associated with truancy among urban youth placed at risk. *The Journal of Primary Prevention, 28*(6), 505-519.

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Employment, Education and Training (1996). Truancy and exclusion from school. *AGPS, Canberra*, *3*, 562- 600.

Juvonen, J., Graham, S., & Schuster, M. A. (2003). Bullying among young adolescents: Thestrong, the weak, and the troubled. *Pediatrics, 112*(6), 1231-1237.

Kaffenberger, C. (2006). *School reentry for students with a chronic illness: A role for professional school counselors*. London: The Free Library.

Kearney, C. A. (2003). Bridging the gap among professionals who address youths with school absenteeism: Overview and suggestions for consensus. *Professional Psychology, Research & Practice, 34*(1), 57-70.

Kelley, B., Tatem, R. L., Kate K., & Mary D. (1997). Developmental pathways in boys' Disruptive and delinquent behavior. *Juvenile Justice Bulletin*. Washington, D.C.: OJJDP, USDOJ.

Kronholz, J. (2011). The challenges of keeping kids in school. *Education Next*, 33-38.

Lansdown, R. (1990). Non-attendance at school and school refusal in Britain. In C. Colette and J. G. Young (Eds.). *Why children reject school*. London: Yale University Press.

Lerner, R. M., & William, D. (2006). *Theoretical models of human development*. Hoboken, NY: John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Lindstadt, M. A. (2005). Employing mediation to approach truants. *Family Court Review, 43*,303-322.

Lochner, L., & Moretti, E. (2004). The effect of education on crime: Evidence from prison inmates, arrests, and self-reports. *American Economic Review 94*(1), 155-189.

Manuatu, L. (2000). *Pedagogical possibilities for Tongan students in New Zealand secondary schooling: Tuli Ke Ma'u hono Ngaahi Malie*. (Unpublished EdD Thesis). New Zealand: University of Auckland.

McCluskey, C. P., Bynum, T. S., & Patchin, J. W. (2004). Reducing chronic absenteeism: An assessment of an early truancy initiative. *Crime & Delinquency, 50*, 214-234.

Mertler, C. (2009). *Action research: Teachers as researchers in the classroom*. Thousand

Mueller, D., & Giacomazzi, A. (2006). Dealing with chronic absenteeism and its related consequences: The process of and short-term effects of a diversionary juvenile court intervention. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk, 11*(2), 199-219.

Murphy, B. (2005). *Skipping school can mean a day in court or washing school buses, fines, or parenting classes*. USA: Houston Chronicle.

Nansel, T. R., & Overpeck, M. (2001). Bullying behaviors among US youth prevalence and association with psychosocial adjustment. *Am Med Assoc. 285,*2094-2100.

Noguera, P. A. (2003). Schools, prisons, and social implications of punishment: Rethinking disciplinary practices. *Theory into Practice, 42*(4), 341-350.

Oaks, CA: Sage.

Okuyama, M., & Okada, M. (1999). Factors responsible for the prolongation of school refusal. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 53*(4), 461-469.

Osarenren, N. A. (1996). Absenteeism and truancy. In E.O. Obe (Ed), *School indiscipline and remedies.* Lagos: Premier Press Publishers.

Owodunni, A. A. (1996). *A survey of the reasons for truancy among secondary school students in Ijebu-Ode township*. (Unpublished M. Ed. Thesis).Nigeria: University of Ibadan.

Paul, R. M. (2009). The teacher-learner relationship in the management of discipline in public high schools. *Africa Education Reviews, 3*(12), 148 – 159.

Peck, C. K. (2002). Personality of high school truants. *The Personnel and Guidance Journal*, *2*(1), 16-22.

Reglin, G. (1997). Mentoring and tutoring help (MATH) program fights truancy. *Clearing House, 70*(6), 319-327.

Reid, K. (1986). *Disaffection from school*. New York: Methuen& Co in association with Methuen Inc.

Reid, K. (1999). *Truancy and schools*. New York: Routledge.

Reid, K. (2000). *Tackling truancy in schools: A practical manual for primary and secondary schools*. London: Routledge.

Reid, K. (2003). Strategic approaches to tackling school absenteeism and truancy: The traffic lights scheme. *Educational Review, 55*(3), 305- 350.

Reid, K. (2006). Raising school attendance: A case study of good practice in monitoring and raising standards. *Quality Assurance in Education, 14*, 199-216.

Reid, K. (2008). Behaviour and attendance: The national picture; a synopsis. *Educational Review, 60*(4), 333-344.

Robertson, J. (1996). *Effective classroom control: Understanding teacher-student relationships* (2nd ed.). London: Hoder & Stoughton.

Rodriguez, L. F., & Conchas, G. Q. (2009). Preventing truancy and dropout among urban middle school youth: Understanding community-based action from the student's perspective. *Education and Urban Society, 41*(2), 216-247.

Rohrman, D. (1993). *Combating truancy in our schools - A community effort, national association of secondary school*. *Principals Bull*. London: Prentice-Hall.

Rothman, S. (2001). School absence and student background factors: A multilevel analysis. *International Education Journal, 2*(1), 20-51.

Ryan, P. (2001). The school-to-work transition: A cross-national perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature, 39*(1), 34-92.

    Schools pupils in Tanzania.

Seeley, K. (2008). *Truancy and connections to bad outcomes & best practices. Presentation at the March 2008 Policy Forum-Truancy: The Absent Epidemic*. Sponsored by the Office of State Superintendent of Education, District of Columbia.

Shirima, R. (2002). *Research report on the causes and effect of truancy among primary*

Smith, M. (1996). School attendance in Hertfordshire. *Educational Research 38*(2), 226-236.

Spelman, W. (2009). Crime, cash, and limited options: Explaining the prison boom. *Criminology and Public Policy, 8*(1), 29-77.

Stoll, P. (1993). Truancy in English secondary schools. *Education Today, 44*(1), 35-37.

Teasley, M. (2004). Absenteeism and truancy: Risk, protection, and best practice implications for school social workers. *Children and Schools, 26*, 117-128.

Tittle, C., & Meier, R. (1990). Specifying the SES/delinquency relationship. *Criminology, 28*, 271-299.

U.S. Department of Education, & U.S. Department of Justice. (1996). Manual to combat truancy. Government Publication.

Van Breda, M. J. (2006). *Guidelines for empowering secondary school educators, in Loco parentis, in addressing truancy among early adolescent learners*. University of South Africa.

Vernez, G., Krop, R., & Rydell, P. C. (2000). *Closing the education gap: Benefits and costs*. Santa Monica, Calif.: Rand.

Walker, K. (2007). *Attendance and truancy programs*: *Research brief.* Omaha, Neb.: The Principals' Partnership.

Ward, A. (2004). Towards a theory of the everyday: The ordinary and the special in daily living in residential care. *Child and Youth Care Forum, 33*(3), 209-225.

Wilkins, J. (2008). School characteristics that influence student attendance: Experiences of students in a school avoidance program. *The High School Journal, 91*, 12-24.

Yeide, M., & Kobrin, M. (2009). *Truancy literature*. Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 800E Bethesda: Development Services Group, Inc.

Zhang, D., Katsiyannis, A., Barrett, D. E., & Willson, V. (2007). Truancy offenders in the juvenile justice system: Examinations of first and second referrals. *Remedial and Specific Education, 28*(4), 244-256.

APPENDIX I

QUESTIONNAIRE

UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION-WINNEBA

COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION-KUMASI

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TEACHERS

Topic: Factors that contribute to truancy among students in the Bono-East region.

The case study of Kwaku-Twi Junior High school.

RESPONDENT'S CONSENT NOTE

I understand the basic nature of the study and agree that any information given is beneficial.

I am aware that the information is being sought in a specific manner so that no identifiers

are needed and so that confidentiality is guaranteed.

DATE: ____, ___, 2020.

PART I: Details of Respondents

1. Gender a. Male (   ) b. Female (   )

2. Age a.18-23(   ) b. 24-29 (   ) c. 30-35 (   ) d. Others (specify)……………..

3. Subject taught (Please kindly specify)…………………………………..

4. Years of Service (Please kindly specify)…………………………………..

PART II: Causes of Students Truancy in Junior High Schools

(Please tick the most appropriate answers that best suits your choice)

Statement *What responses do you think best* *answers the following questions*	Strongly Agree (SA)	Agree (A)	Neutral (N)	Disagree (D)	Strongly Disagree (SA)
Lack of parental supervision due to broken homes					
Poor school policies, rules, and curriculum					
Teachers' attitudes contribute to the truancy of students					
Impact of bullying from other students					
Lack of financial support					

PART III: Effect of Students Truancy on Students

(Please tick the most appropriate answers that best suits your choice)

Statement *How do you consider the following as effect of students truancy on academic studies*	Strongly Agree (SA)	Agree (A)	Neutral (N)	Disagree (D)	Strongly Disagree (SA)
Truancy promotes students poor academic performance					
Students always end up as school dropouts					
Truancy increase students involvement in criminal activities					
Teenage pregnancies abound when students become truant					
Students truancies give bad names to schools					

PART IV: Measures needed to control Students Truancy in Junior High Schools

(Please tick the most appropriate answers that best suits your choice)

Statement *How do you consider the following as measures needed to control students truancy in secondary schools*	Strongly Agree (SA)	Agree (A)	Neutral (N)	Disagree (D)	Strongly Disagree (SA)
Students should be rewarded when they have perfect attendance					
Provision of a safe environment that recognizes student successes					
Strict and instant punitive measure should be instituted					
Well-developed school policies regarding student conduct should be in place					
Mentoring program should be designed to improve school attendance and grades					

Thank You